**Table 1**Description of studies included in the meta-analysis

z eser ip won of sum.				
Authors and year of publication	Sample size	Gender	Place of study	Type of study
Wechsberg et al.	237	female	North Carolina	Cross-sectional
(2015)			1.:-4	.1 .1 1
			e; history of physica es; the occurrence	
Weitzel et al. (2020)	2443	50.8% male	UK	Cross-sectional
	nvolvement: lack	of shelter; staying i	n temporary housing	
Lachman et al. (2012)	200	55% male	Montgomery County &	Cross-sectional
	involvement: inst	rumental purposes (	Washington, DC (e.g. joining the grou	p in order to obtain
Mendez, Mozley, and Kerig (2020)	817	25.93% female	Western USA	Cross-sectional
<b>Q</b> ( )	involvement: trau	ıma exposure (callo	ous-unemotionality b	eing an explanatory
Cepeda, Valdez, and Nowotny (2014)	75	male	West Side, San Antonio, Texas	Cross-sectional
Predictor of gang in	volvement: physi	cal neglect.		
van Dommelen- Gonzalez,	162	44.87 female affiliated	San Francisco	Cross-sectional
Deardorff, Herd,		57.14 female		
and Minnis (2015)		unaffiliated		
,	involvement: age	(those affiliates we	ere older than those	unaffiliated); to live

Predictors of gang involvement: age (those affiliates were older than those unaffiliated); to live with a family member who receives social assistance; affiliation of some family members to gangs; having close deviant friends (truants, who spent a night in custody etc.).

Kubik, Docherty, 611 52% female USA Longitudinal and Boxer (2019)

Predictors of gang involvement: childhood maltreatment; childhood neglect.

Authors and year of publication	Sample size	Gender	Place of study	Type of study
Ang et al. (2015)	1027	58.2% male	Singapore	Cross-sectional
• • •	nvolvement: proa	ctive aggression; de	elinquent behavior; b	ehavioral
disengagement from	-			
Reed et al.	9952	2782 female	Washington	Cross-sectional
(2014)				1 > 1
Predictors of gang deployed in combat			ts (in the case of gi	rls); having parents
Katz and Fox (2010)	2206	59.6% female	Trinidad & Tobago	Cross-sectional
	who favor antisod	cial behaviour; ear	of hand guns; high ly initiation into an rugs.	
Gilman, Hill, Hawkins, Howell, and Kosterman	808	51% male	Seattle	Longitudinal 1985-1993
(2014) Predictors of gang i of antisocial peers i			nber; antisocial neigh	borhood; influences
Voisin and Neilands (2010)	563	38.89% male	Midwestern	Cross-sectional
,		er levels of student-	teacher connection; r	nale gender; risky
Lenzi et al.	11753	59.6% male	California	Cross-sectional
~ ~ ~	els of empathy; lin	miting access to so	ral self-control; low cial support (within	

Ventura Miller, 1633 66% male – South Texas Cross-sectional belonging to the Barnes, and Hartley (2011) gang
Predictors of gang involvement: low level of grades obtained at school; drug availability in the neighborhood; low levels of acculturation; low levels of school satisfaction; perceiving a higher

degree of marginalization based on ethnicity.

Authors and year of publication	Sample size	Gender	Place of study	Type of study
Beaver et al. (2010)	2196	52.59% female	USA	Longitudinal 1994-2002

Predictors of gang involvement: low activity alleles of the monoamine oxidase A gene (only carrier men are at increased risk of joining the gang).

Lenzi et al.

26232

53.4% female

California

Cross-sectional

(2014)

Predictors of gang involvement: associating with deviant peers; perceiving the school as an unsafe environment; type of school (special, vocational, alternative vs. comprehensive).

Petering (2016)

505

27.72% female

Los Angeles

Cross-sectional

- homeless population

Predictors of gang involvement: childhood sexual abuse; witness to family violence; childhood physical abuse; witness to interpersonal violence.

Wood et al.

188

male

UK

Cross-sectional

(2014)

Predictors of gang involvement: the value attached to social status; levels of dominant social orientation; anti-authority attitudes; moral disengagement; threat levels (before incarceration); individual delinquency levels (before incarceration); levels of involvement in group crime (before incarceration); group support on streets; longer current punishments.

Barnes et al.

*Wave 1 - 20745* 

50.51% female

USA

Longitudinal

(2010)

adolescents, 17700 carers *Wave 2* - 14738 *Wave 3* - 15197

Predictors of gang involvement: low levels of self-control; greater involvement in delinquency; more victimization experiences.

Ha, Kim,

998

52.7% male

Northwestern USA

Longitudinal

Christopher,

Caruthers, and

**Dishion** (2016)

Predictor of gang involvement: maltreatment.

Gilman, Howell, Hipwell, and

2450

female

Pittsburgh

Longitudinal 2003-2011

Stepp (2016)

Predictors of gang involvement: peer victimization.

Authors and year of publication	Sample size	Gender	Place of study	Type of study
Mfidi et al. (2018)	347	169 male	Eastern Cape, South Africa	Cross-sectional
		coper handling of en	motions (anger – the	most common
Smith, Gomez Auyong, and Ferguson (2018)	15445	50.6% male	Avon, UK	Longitudinal 1991-2019
Predictors of gang			ial disorganization in d objects etc.); drug v	
Dmitrieva et al. (2014)	1354	male - in detention	Maricopa & Philadelphia	Longitudinal
low self-esteem (in	early adolescence gang leader: low	e).  v temperance; low	self-esteem (after 1 fter 17 years).	- ·
Hautala et al. (2016)	646	50.5% female	USA & Canada	Longitudinal 2002-2011 - Indigenous
low links with scho school; risk factor increase the risk for	ol (increased risk s at peer level ( r initiation into the ressive symptoms	only for gang initial increased risk onle e gang; early perce g; hyperactivity / In	family member; low pation); early conduct ply for initiation); early ton of racial discrimpulsivity; substance	problems in primary rly negative events nination; anger (risk
Frisby-Osman, and Wood (2020)	91	64.8% male	England	Cross-sectional
	toms of anxiety;	symptoms of de	nduct problems; mo pression; higher lev	
McDaniel (2012)	4131	51.49% female		Cross-sectional

(2017)

Predictors of gang involvement: childhood sexual abuse; physical childhood abuse; exposure to community violence; witnessing family violence.

reporting suicidal ideation; alcohol or drug use; delinquency; experiencing peer victimization.

71.30% male

495

Los Angeles

Cross-sectional

Petering et al.

Authors and year of publication	Sample size	Gender	Place of study	Type of study
Vasquez et al. (2012)	310	185 male	London	Cross-sectional

Predictors of gang involvement: ruminal thinking about aversive events; male gender.

Vuk (2016) 5935 48% male USA Cross-sectional Predictors of gang involvement: low self-esteem; authoritarian parents; negligent parents; permissive parents; delinquent peers; low levels of self-control; increased levels of rationalization; low levels of guilt.

Hennigan et al. 391 67% male Los Angeles Longitudinal (2015)

Predictors of gang involvement: antisocial tendencies; impulsive risk-taking; neutralization of guilt; parental monitoring; influence of the family gang; negative influence of friends; delinquency of friends; critical life events in the last six months; self-reported delinquency.

Shelley and 1730 55% female USA Longitudinal Peterson (2018) 2006-2012

Predictors of gang involvement: being a bully; being a victim of bullying; beying a bully-victim.

Voisin et al. 188 female Atlanta Georgia Cross-sectional (2014)

Predictors of gang involvement: low self-esteem; emotional dysregulation; trauma history, deviant colleagues; low parental monitoring; low level of parental communication; housing instability; poor quality of the neighborhood.